

New Issue: MOODY'S ASSIGNS Aa2 RATING TO WAUNAKEE COMMUNITY SCHOOL DISTRICT'S (WI) \$4.65 MILLION GENERAL OBLIGATION REFUNDING BONDS

Global Credit Research - 07 Oct 2011

Aa2 RATING APPLIES TO \$59.2 MILLION OF POST-SALE GO DEBT

Primary & Secondary Education
WI

Moody's Rating

ISSUE	RATING
General Obligation Refunding Bonds	Aa2
Sale Amount	\$4,650,000
Expected Sale Date	10/17/11
Rating Description	General Obligation

Opinion

NEW YORK, Oct 7, 2011 -- Moody's Investors Service has assigned a Aa2 rating to Waunakee School District (WI's) \$4.65 million General Obligation Refunding Bonds. Concurrently, Moody's has affirmed the Aa2 rating on the district's outstanding general obligation debt. Post-sale, the district will have \$59.2 million of outstanding general obligation debt.

SUMMARY RATINGS RATIONALE

The refunding bonds are secured by the district's general obligation unlimited tax pledge and proceeds will advance refund a portion of the district's outstanding Series 2004 and 2006 General Obligation Refunding Bonds for an estimated net present value savings of 9.8%. Assignment and affirmation of the Aa2 rating reflects the District's moderately-sized tax base that has experienced recent modest declines in valuation and above average wealth levels; solid reserve levels; and an elevated debt burden with slow principal amortization.

STRENGTHS

- Multi-year trend of operating surpluses leading to steady increases in General Fund reserves
- Above-average socioeconomic profile

CHALLENGES

- Recent declines in assessed valuation
- Elevated debt burden

DETAILED CREDIT DISCUSSION

MODERATELY SIZED AFFLUENT TAX BASE BENEFITS FROM PROXIMITY TO MADISON

Located just north of Madison (General Obligation-GO rated Aaa/ stable outlook) in north central Dane County (GO rated Aa1/ stable outlook), Waunakee School District encompasses an area of approximately 56 square miles, serving the entire Village of Waunakee (GO rated Aa2), a portion of Madison, and several surrounding towns. While the sizeable \$2.1 billion tax base has grown at a solid 4.5% average annual rate over the last five years, it has experienced modest declines in 2009 (1.3%) and 2010 (2.2%) due to depreciation in the district's residential sector. The district does not expect additional declines in valuation going forward and anticipates flat to modest growth over the near term as residential developments are expected to offset any additional declines in housing values. Given its proximity to the state capital, many residents commute to Madison area employment centers. District wealth levels notably exceed state indices with per capita and median family income at 136.5% and 131.7%, respectively. Favorably, Dane County's August 2011 unemployment rate of 5.1% was below both the state (7.3%) and national (9.1%) rates for the same time period.

SOUND FINANCIAL OPERATIONS EXPECTED TO CONTINUE

The district's financial operations are expected to remain sound given historically strong management, conservative budgeting, stable reserve levels, and steadily increasing enrollment. With the exception of fiscal 2007, the district has closed the last several years with General Fund surpluses. In fiscal 2007, the district spent down \$663,000 of General Fund reserves to purchase and remodel a new facility. The district closed fiscals 2008 and 2009 with General Fund surpluses in excess of \$300,000 each year, primarily driven by savings related to instruction expenditures, which increased the General Fund balance to \$5.0 million, or a satisfactory 14.3% of General Fund revenues at the close of fiscal 2009. The district continued to improve reserve levels with an operating surplus of approximately \$568,000 in fiscal 2010 increasing the General Fund balance to \$5.6 million, or a solid 15.2% of General Fund revenues. Officials attribute the positive operations primarily to savings in instruction expenditures. The district's formal General Fund policy is to maintain 15% of budgeted operating expenditures.

While audited results are not yet available, preliminary results indicate an additional operating surplus of \$703,047 in fiscal 2011. The operating surplus increased reserves to \$6.3 million, or a strong 16.6% of budgeted General Fund expenditures. Management notes the operating surplus was the result of higher than budgeted revenues from one time Medicaid reimbursements and federal grants. While the district's preliminary budget forecasted a balanced budget for fiscal 2012, management now anticipates a modest surplus despite a decline of approximately 10%,

or roughly \$1.9 million, in state aid revenues. The district was able to offset much of this reduction with pension and health care cost savings in accordance with the provisions of the state budget repair bill. Like many districts throughout the State, Waunakee issues cash flow notes during the year to cover traditional low points in its revenue cycle. The district plans to issue \$5 million in notes in October 2011, the same amount borrowed during each of the last four fiscal years.

Given the development patterns which have emerged throughout the district, enrollment has steadily increased (up 3.1% since 2006), and is projected to continue in the medium term as new housing developments are expected to come online. Management anticipates an additional 1,000 students enrolling in the district over the next decade. Enrollment increases benefit the district as enrollment is a key determinant in state imposed revenue limits. The rate of growth of their revenue limit has allowed the district to maintain current staff levels and programs despite increasing expenditures. The growth rates have also driven the need for new, remodeled, and enlarged facilities. To accommodate the increased operating costs associated with new facilities, the district sought approval in April of last year for two \$375,000 excess levy requests, both of which were narrowly defeated (52% no votes for 2010-2011 school year, 53% no votes for 2011-2012 school year). The district also asked voters to approve \$25 million in capital borrowing to address capacity needs at the elementary school; the measure failed with 52% no votes. However, the district did receive approval for a measure related to improvements and additions to the Waunakee High School. Management reports good progress on the project with an anticipated completion in August 2012. The School Board will continue to consider plans to return to voters in the future for approval on capacity related capital borrowing projects. Despite the potential challenges excessive growth can present to a district's budget, we believe Waunakee is well positioned to meet future demand given management's demonstrated record of forward looking planning, commitment to protect reserves in keeping with its fund balance policy, and conservative budgeting.

ABOVE AVERAGE DEBT BURDEN EXPECTED TO REMAIN MANAGEABLE

With the district's steady and sustained growth driving the need for new and updated facilities, its direct debt burden has grown considerably from 1.3% of full valuation in 2001 to 3.0% of full valuation (5.5% overall debt burden) in 2010. The district plans to continue to review facilities and address any capacity issues. Notably, officials do not expect to seek voter approval for capital borrowing despite projected enrollment growth. Moody's notes the increased debt burden the district has incurred over the past several years, but believes that favorable demographic trends (high wealth levels, steadily increasing population) and expected tax base growth will help to keep it manageable and debt levels will moderate over time. Principal amortization is relatively slow with 60.5% of debt retired in 10 years, though the amortization schedule matches the useful life of the assets financed. All of the district's debt is fixed rate and the district is not a party to any interest rate swap agreements.

WHAT COULD CHANGE THE RATING - UP

- Substantial growth and expansion in the district's taxbase
- Continued trend of positive operations

WHAT COULD CHANGE THE RATING - DOWN

- Sustained operating deficits leading to deterioration of General Fund reserve levels
- Erosion of the district's tax base and/or significant enrollment declines

KEY STATISTICS

2010 Population (Estimate): 17,224

2010 Full Valuation: \$2.1 billion

Full Value Per Capita: \$ 116,137

Direct Debt: 3.0%

Overall Debt: 5.5%

Payout (10 Years): 60.5%

Fiscal 2010 General Fund Balance: \$5.56 million (15.2% of General Fund revenues)

Dane County Unemployment Rate (08/2011): 5.1%

1999 Per Capita Income as a % of State: 136.5% (134.5% of US)

1999 Median Family Income as a % of State: 131.7% (139.2% of US)

Post-sale GOULT debt outstanding: \$59.2 million

PRINCIPAL METHODOLOGY USED

The methodologies used in this rating were General Obligation Bonds Issued by U.S. Local Governments published in October 2009, and Short-Term Cash Flow Notes published in May 2007. Please see the Credit Policy page on www.moody.com for a copy of these methodologies.

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

The Global Scale Credit Ratings on this press release that are issued by one of Moody's affiliates outside the EU are considered EU Qualified by Extension and therefore available for regulatory use in the EU. Further information on the EU endorsement status and on the Moody's office that has issued a particular Credit Rating is available on www.moody.com.

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides relevant regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings

are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides relevant regulatory disclosures in relation to the rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides relevant regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on www.moody's.com.

Information sources used to prepare the rating are the following: parties involved in the ratings, public information, confidential and proprietary Moody's Investors Service's information, and confidential and proprietary Moody's Analytics' information.

Moody's considers the quality of information available on the rated entity, obligation or credit satisfactory for the purposes of issuing a rating.

Moody's adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, Moody's is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process.

Please see Moody's Rating Symbols and Definitions on the Rating Process page on www.moody's.com for further information on the meaning of each rating category and the definition of default and recovery.

Please see ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moody's.com for the last rating action and the rating history.

The date on which some ratings were first released goes back to a time before Moody's ratings were fully digitized and accurate data may not be available. Consequently, Moody's provides a date that it believes is the most reliable and accurate based on the information that is available to it. Please see the ratings disclosure page on our website www.moody's.com for further information.

Please see www.moody's.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Analysts

Tatiana Killen
Analyst
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Henrietta Chang
Backup Analyst
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Contacts

Journalists: (212) 553-0376
Research Clients: (212) 553-1653

Moody's Investors Service, Inc.
250 Greenwich Street
New York, NY 10007
USA



© 2011 Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and/or its licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ARE MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC.'S ("MIS") CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MIS DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT CONSTITUTE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS ARE NOT RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MIS ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR

SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable, including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process. Under no circumstances shall MOODY'S have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits), even if MOODY'S is advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, resulting from the use of or inability to use, any such information. The ratings, financial reporting analysis, projections, and other observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained herein are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. Each user of the information contained herein must make its own study and evaluation of each security it may consider purchasing, holding or selling. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody's.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Any publication into Australia of this document is by MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657, which holds Australian Financial Services License no. 336969. This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, credit ratings assigned on and after October 1, 2010 by Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") are MJKK's current opinions of the relative future credit risk of entities, credit commitments, or debt or debt-like securities. In such a case, "MIS" in the foregoing statements shall be deemed to be replaced with "MJKK". MJKK is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO.

This credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness or a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be dangerous for retail investors to make any investment decision based on this credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.